314 The Social Policy of the Attlee Government

George, Social Security, p. 228.

p. 14. 74. George, Social Security, p. 228.
75. The Welfare State, Labour Discussion Pamphlet no. 4 (London, 1952),

76. H.C. Debates 444, col. 1603.

77. Labour Believes in Britain, p. 4.

78. Labour and the New Society (London, 1950), p. 5.

79. Cf. Vic George and Paul Wilding, Ideology and Social Welfare (London,

Essays, p. 1. 80. R.H.S. Crossman, 'Towards a Philosophy of Socialism' in New Fabian

81. Labour Believes in Britain, pp. 18-19.

Party Archive: RD 201/November, 1948). 82. Cf. J.E. Meade, 'Next Steps in Domestic Economic Policy' (Labour

83. Cf. Margaret Cole, 'Social Services and Personal Life' in Munro, Socialism

84. Keeping Left. By a Group of Members of Parliament (London, 1950).

of 4s 7d, while his employer would have to pay the difference, paying 5s 9d to adult workers earning less than 30 shillings per week. contribution which was 2s 1d for each male employee. This relief only applied instead of 3s 10d normally. There would be no change in the state part of the 85. In such a case the workman would pay only 2s 8d per week, instead

The Labour Party 45th Annual Conference Report 1946, p. 117.

Conference held at Beatrice Webb House, Dorking, 19-21 May 1950, p. 16. 87. Labour Party Archive: R 3/ June 1950, Summary of Discussions at the

88. Cf. George, Social Security, p. 10.

89. The Welfare State. Labour Discussion pamphlet no. 4 (London, 1952),

90. Cf. Heclo, Modern Social Politics, pp. 259-70.

91. Ibid., pp. 270-3.

of Labour, 2nd edn (London, 1972), p. 307. 92. Cf. Ralph Miliband, Parliamentary Socialism. A Study in the Politics

p. 188. Cited in George and Wilding, Ideology and Social Welfare, p. 81 93. R.M. Titmuss, Income Distribution and Social Change (London, 1965),

an Historical Approach', in Butterworth and Holman, Social Welfare in Modern 94. For such a critical socialist approach cf. J. Saville, 'The Welfare State:

Birch, The Shaping of the Welfare State, p. 64

Bartlett, Postwar Britain, p. 44.
 Heclo, Modern Social Politics, p. 287.

98. Cf. Howell, British Social Democracy, p. 176.

99. As note 87, p. 2.

100. C.A.R. Crosland, 'The Transition from Capitalism', in New Fabian

in: The emongence of the Welfare Stake to Britain and formany, ed. by Wolfgang J. Hommen, London 1981, s. 315-339

GERMAN POST-WAR SOCIAL POLICIES AGAINST THE BACKGROUND OF THE PREPARATORY TO A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS BEVERIDGE PLAN. SOME OBSERVATIONS

H.G. Hockerts

Control Commission for Germany (British Element): Export of the Beveridge Plan into Occupied Germany?

degree from the traditional principles of the German social insurance social insurance law for Germany' which deviated to a considerable quadripartite administration, worked out a draft for a 'compulsory in continuity and of a new beginning. In 1946/7 the Manpower Direcpopulation sectors, each with different contributions and benefits. uniform organisation structured along regional lines, rather than on the organised according to specific groups and classes of the population. entire nation. And while German social insurance hitherto had been more than five employees). The Allied draft, just as the Beveridge the insurance to all citizens (with the exception of employers with employees and the self-employed - the intention now was to extend tion - which as a matter of principle excluded more highly paid to cover only the economically weak groups in need of special protecsystem.2 Whereas the guiding principle in Germany had hitherto been torate of the Allied Control Commission, the highest authority of the German social security system was equally on the verge of a break between 1945 and 1948 on the basis of the Beveridge Plan, the While the British social security system was fundamentally reformed former multitude of insurance categories3 tailored to cover specific the idea was now, again as with the Beveridge Plan, to introduce a Plan, thus aimed at a social insurance model that would encompass the

of the Manpower Division of the British Control Commission - CCG evident. This might lead to the assumption that it was the intention of Labour'.5 The main criterion for recruitment was group of civil servants in the CCG and this was the direct result of Division - in the judgement of one expert - was 'easily the best (BE) - to introduce the Beveridge Plan in Germany. This Manpower Ernest Bevin's interest in its formation when he was at the Ministry Certain parallels to the simultaneous reforms in Britian are thus

dence in state intervention and had thus prepared the ground for the experience had engendered a sense of national solidarity and confimisuse of power had provoked opposition to any form of government-Beveridge reforms; in Germany, by contrast, the experience of Nazi imposed centralisation or collectivism.

system was seen as a national task, while in Germany it threatened to Britain were quite different: in Britain the reform of the social security spread consciousness that Germany, ever since Bismarck, had been from the outset, provoked opposition, especially because of a widetake on the guise of an imposition by the occupation forces. This, now be dictated by the occupying forces was therefore resented all one with which Germany, defeated in war and deformed by National circumstance that the tradition of social policy was virtually the only the leading nation in matters of social policy. That reform should of. It was no accident that Adenauer, in a mass rally of the Christian Socialism, could still identify, which it could refer to and be 'proud' the more keenly. These sensitivities were further exacerbated by the Democrat Union in August 1946, said emphatically: In another respect, too, the points of departure in Germany and

as for the proposals Beveridge has recently made in Hamburg, I past thirty years. 16 can only say that we Germans have already had such things these We must hold on to this social insurance. We are proud of it. And

addition there existed, particularly in the minds of leading trade unionists the new system of social insurance, which the Allied Control Commisin the British sector, a tactical consideration: it was not inconceivable that initiative, ought to be reformed now too by German authorities'. 17 In social insurance, which was created seventy years ago through German The Social Democrat camp was no less emphatic in its opinion 'that economy, soon run into serious financial difficulties, and they feared sion intended to implement, would, in view of Germany's ruined and thus offer a dangerous handle for nationalist agitation. 18 that this might bring sound principles of reform into lasting disrepute

The Failure of Allied Reform Plans

in the Russian sector. The three Western Allies, however, did not take In January 1947 the Allied draft Bill was ratified (in a modified form)

> cluded or not); yet early in 1948 the draft legislation failed to pass the the parallel step. In July 1947 the Allied Manpower Directorate had to remain a matter of controversy was whether civil servants should be inreached agreement on virtually all points of the draft (the only question which, from the angle of a particular field of research, supplement and examination of the reasons for this failure leads to three conclusions Lucius D. Clay and Brian H. Robertson, declined to give their assent. An Allied Control Council. The American and British military governors, modify historiographical attempts to formulate a paradigm of Anglo-American policies during the period of occupation.

(1) As far as the reform of the social insurance system was conconcerned, the frequently employed historical paradigm that reforms' does not apply.19 The British withdrawal from the 'the British were prevented by the Americans from introducing ance Branch of the British Manpower Division wrote to the British military government. A letter which the Social Insur-Allied reform project was due to growing doubts within the Finance Division in February 1948 may be regarded as sympto-

manoeuvred into a false position on agreeing to the reform of social insurance in the quadripartite machine and shall be grate-'In short, it has to be admitted that we have unfortunately been this highly controversial measure in the Finance Directorate. 20 ful for anything you can do to frustrate or delay the course of

The Cold War changed the framework of the reform project: creation of a West German state thus assumed political priority, to the degree that the East-West conflict escalated and the an understanding with the prevailing West German views than it became more important for the Western powers to come to to reach an accord with the Soviet Union, which could only be achieved on the basis of the quadripartite draft law.

The historical paradigm of a 'prevented new order', according bourgeoisie, in a tacit coalition of capitalist interests, prevented to which the American occupation forces and the German policies. In this area, the German opposition gained in effectiveprogressive reforms,21 does not apply to the social insurance ness and strength precisely because it had the support of important sections of the trade unions.

Principle (1948/9) The Frankfurt Economic Council: the Postponement of Decisions on

nomic Council, i.e. the German legislative body in the American and insurance reform into German hands. This brought the bipartite Ecomilitary governments in June 1949 transferred the matter of social fundamental decisions were to be reserved to the parliament of the dispensed with a structural reform of social insurance, since such considerably beyond the level envisaged in the Allied draft law, but British sectors of occupation, into play. The Economic Council's In the context of the currency reform, the British and American population who depended on such payments, especially the pensioners, increase in benefits was indeed a priority, since those sections of the new West German state which was about to come into being. An Sozialversicherungs-Anpassungsgesetz (SVAG) raised the benefits the average old age pension for workers had only risen by 35 points.²² had sunk into deep poverty: if one takes the cost of living index of 1938 as 100, this had gone up by 73 points by the end of 1948, while

year the pensioners had to suffer the effects of the surge in inflation governments prevented the SVAG from taking effect. For a further economy, without receiving any compensatory allowances. which followed the currency reform and the liberalisation of the 1948 the bipartite Control Office of the Anglo-American military German resentment was thus all the greater when in December

Reconstruction of the Traditional Social Insurance System The First Bundestag (1949-53): Specific Post-war Problems and the

of the British welfare state, was in opposition for the duration of the nailed the defence of the traditional German social insurance system to its mast. It was of course not social insurance on which the social first Bundestag (and until 1966). The Christian Democrat Union The Social Democrat Party (SPD), which inclined towards the model motion a programme of massive redistribution that was to benefit management of the specific problems brought about by the war. The policies of the first German Bundestag were focused, but on the Free Democrats (FDP) and the conservative German Party (DP) - had (CDU), the leading party in government - in coalition with the liberal more than 10 million refugees and people who had been bombed out Lastenausgleichsgesetz (Equalisation of Burdens Act) of 1952 set in

> of insurance funds. It should be noted in this connection that leading ple, the parliamentary majority re-established the traditional diversity SPD aimed at a standardised insurance structure as a matter of principletely reinstated. While the German Trade Union Federation and the ance was concerned, the traditional structures were - almost - comincidentally, greatly impressed Lord Beveridge.23 As far as social insursubsidies from public funds was initiated, the efficiency of which the necessary housing was lacking, a building programme with massive been invalided, widowed or orphaned by the war. As about a third of Several Acts were passed to look after the 4 million people who had annuation insurance into two, one for workers, the other for whiteofficials of these institutions held important positions in all three system, an overwhelming majority of employees had voted for eleccollar employees, and shortly before the parliamentary elections of governing coalition parties. Yet even the SPD agreed to splitting superduring elections to the self-governing bodies of the social insurance special Bundesversicherungsanstalt für Angestellte (a federal institution 1953, the Bundestag voted unanimously for the reintroduction of a norms of contributions and benefits for both insurance branches were pite the existence of the two separate institutions, in 1957 uniform hiving off once more the insurance for white-collar employees. Desthat in a parliamentary election year no party dared to vote against This result so clearly demonstrated the wishes of sought-after voters toral lists advocating a separate insurance for white-collar workers. for the SPD's consent must be the fact that a few months earlier, for the insurance of white-collar employees). The only explanation

The Position in 1953: a Need for Comprehensive Reform?

figure for Britain was 12.5 per cent and for Sweden 13.5 per cent.24 higher than in all other comparable countries. In the same year the national product at factor costs) stood at 19.4 per cent and was thus expenditure in the Federal Republic (defined as the share in the net At the end of the first legislative period in 1953, the rate of social product and was thus not the result of a particularly high level of beneficiaries - due to the war - and a comparatively low national The high German rate reflects the ratio between a large number of social benefits; on the contrary: no one denied that many of the benefits were inadequate and that considerable lacunae also existed

reforms and in this the example of the Beveridge Plan exercised attempts were therefore made in 1952/3 to institute comprehensive system of social security was very fragmented, badly co-ordinated and a system of family allowances. No one denied, moreover, that the positively and negatively - considerable influence. This will be illusto most people quite incomprehensible. With a certain time-lag So it had been hoped in vain that the first Bundestag would introduce trated in the next three sections.

British Impulses for Academic Discussion in Germany

uniformity, clarity and its basic conception'.27 roth), others worked in the field (the chairmen both of the social policy committee of the SPD, Prof. Ludwig Preller, and of the CDU, of them were scholars (Prof. Hans Achinger, Prof. Gerhard Mackencareful attention in Germany. In this connection a study visit to British system,26 most of them seem to have been 'impressed by its Alan T. Peacock)²⁵ and while they did not hesitate to criticise the British experts (such as Christine Cockburn, Richard M. Titmuss and Heinrich Lünendonk). For two weeks they conferred with leading London by 19 German experts in January 1953 was indicative. Some The Beveridge Plan and the British reforms based on it received very

sions after the war much more than before has largely been due to the received into the German vocabulary. Preventive health care and in the Economic Cycle).30 Significant, too, was how readily during the British development with particular involvement.²⁸ Alan T. Peacock's analysis of redistribution²⁹ provided the direct impulse for Hartmut tradition but the fact that this aspect was stressed in German discuswork process had not been unknown to the German social security measures for the reintegration of the physically handicapped into the Sicherung im Kreislauf der Wirtschaft (The Finances of Social Security Hensen's pioneer work in Germany, Die Finanzen der sozialen 1950s English terms such as 'prevention' and 'rehabilitation' were as one conceptual entity. It is thus no accident that the first German plan for reform, and to regard economic, financial and social policies to work towards a systematically and comprehensively conceived scientific discussion. This was particularly true of the willingness 'models for a social plan' came from authors who had observed the Some of the British concepts had an immediate effect on German

> Schreiber formulated the concept of the 'contract between genera-Mackenroth, in his now famous lecture of 1952, took up this tions of subjects to insure themselves, and to levy taxes, is freed from emphasised that 'a state with the power to compel successive generacapital reserves was severely shaken. Beveridge, on the contrary, had that the long-term funding of superannuation insurance requires large more: under the influence of Beveridge and Keynes the German dogma between British and German discussions, I just want to mention one sidies through an autonomous creation of purchasing power, in order help could only come from the state's responsibility 'to provide subcyclical effect. In a crisis, Schreiber went on with Keynesian arguments. reserves in times of crisis, this would merely have an aggravating, proin terms of the national economy: if one were to run down such tion of large capital reserves to cover such entitlements made no sense sumption to those not yet or no longer working; whereas the accumulation in work at any given moment cedes certain of its rights to contions': in order to fund the payment of social provisions, the generathought, 32 and it was against this background that in 1955 Wilfrid the obligation to accumulate reserves for statistical purposes.'31 Gerhard serves, and towards the idea of covering them by transfer payments turn away from the principle of funding pensions out of capital reinstead, was an important pre-condition for the great Pensions Reto compensate for the crisis-induced decrease in contributions.'33 The form Act of 1957, which I shall return to below. Out of a great number of possible examples of the close links

SPD: Reform Planning in Approximation to the Beveridge Plan

was in no small measure due to the great efforts by Walter Auerbach. A committee of the SPD party executive. and has since been one of the leading influences in the social policy für Arbeit (Central Labour Office) in the British-occupied sector Plan.34 From 1946 to 1948 he was Vice-President of the Zentralami and had taken an indirect part in the preparation of the Beveridge in the London Secretariat of the International Transport Federation Social Democrat emigrant, Auerbach had worked from 1939 to 1946 The SPD was considerably influenced by the Beveridge Plan and this

Bundestag - without success - to appoint a 'kind of German Beveridge Committee', in order to prepare a comprehensive programme of social On his initiative the SPD in 1952 put forward a motion in the

outlined certain ground rules, but it contained certain significant paral-Social Plan of the SPD.36 It was a very brief document which merely within the party. The first interim result of this work was published by plans for reform were not influenced by the British model alone. The be briefly sketched. It must be noted, though, that the Social Democrat lels to the Beveridge Plan. Some of the tendencies common to both will the SPD party executive under the title Basic Principles for an Overall reform.35 He also had an important hand in preparing a plan for reform national Labour Conference of 1944 in Philadelphia, which in turn particular significance were the results arrived at during the Inter-International Labour Organisation played an important part too. Of Swedish example as well as the general stage of discussion within the had largely been based on the recommendations contained in the Beveridge Report.

ance - i.e. the abolition of poverty by ensuring an income in case of unemployment, illness, accident, old age or the death of the breadat least in this systematic and theoretically well conceived form, was winner - was by no means a novelty in German eyes. What was new work rehabilitation; (3) family allowances. avoidance of mass unemployment through economic policies aimed at function of alleviating poverty if three pre-conditions are met: (1) the recognition that social insurance can only successfully fulfil its full employment; (2) comprehensive health care and a service for The functions which the Beveridge Plan envisaged for social insur-

safeguarding health and the capacity to work, and of the stabilising policy of full employment and that, conversely, full employment plan also emphasised that social security cannot be divorced from a effects certain monetary benefits would have on the economic cycle would only be possible with an effective social security system. Here the Beveridge Report had done, the Social Democrat authors of this out of taxes.37 Yet in contrast to the British plan, and following the integrate all health care services and benefits and be financed largely the establishment of a kind of 'National Health Service' which would the authors were thinking particularly of the productive effect of German tradition, they laid great stress on the principle of a decentral-(2) Just as with Beveridge, the Social Democrat authors also envisaged payable out of public funds. demanded child allowances for each second and subsequent child ised, participative administration. (3) Just like Beveridge, the SPD also The SPD plan recognised these three pre-conditions. (1) Just as

As far as the cash benefits of social insurance were concerned

pension for every citizen either permanently disabled or of pensionable age. This corresponded to Beveridge's concept of a 'flat-rate national the SPD favoured a uniform, non-contributory, tax-financed basic minimum' for every disabled or pensionable citizen. But unlike Beversurance tradition, whereas in Britain the basic National Insurance Act individualistic principle was deeply rooted in the German social infinanced through contributions from wages. This more markedly idge, the SPD further envisaged additional earnings-related pensions, of 1912 had already followed the principle of flat rates for both pen-

system in that it aimed at including all citizens, and at shifting the emphasis on finance through taxes instead of contributions. Coupled sions and contributions. with a demand for a reform of the tax system (less indirect and more nevertheless respected the principle of encouraging achievement and achieve greater vertical redistribution. The SPD, again like Beveridge, direct progressive taxation) this shift - just as in Britain - was to individual initiative. 'In establishing a national minimum, it should vidual to provide more than that minimum for himself and his family,' leave room and encouragement for voluntary action by each indiand his active participation would be aroused and encouraged.39 in this way 'the interest of the individual to look after his own security was to receive only 'certain basic rates more or less as of right', since Beveridge had written. Similar views came from the SPD: the citizen The SPD plan deviated from the traditional German social security

The Federal Government: Reform Planning Independent of the

be summed up as follows: the CDU, much more than the SPD, stressed ences, the position of the leading government party after 1949 can If one leaves out of account certain not unimportant internal differmore narrowly the extent of government intervention. In its first party responsibility for his own security, 40 and therefore sought to limit the need for private initiative, for incentives and the individual's programmes it rejected the idea of extending compulsory insurance sised the importance of financing social insurance as far as possible to the self-employed and employees in higher wage brackets. It emphaand less through taxes (state maintenance principle). This aimed at through contributions for specific purposes (insurance principle) correlating as closely as possible personal (earnings-related) contribu-

consequence of this bias in their programme. failure. The fact that Christian Democrats in the fifties treated the term public assistance, with the possible associated stigma of personal for all, in this conception, is only envisaged in the form of means-tested individual contributions made. The guarantee of a minimum income purely and simply an attribute of citizenship, but the equivalent of structure of social insurance. Seen in this light, social benefits are not ing the distributive mechanisms of the market to bear on the benefit tions to (equally earnings-related) insurance benefits and thus at bring 'welfare state' either with scepticism, or rejected it altogether, is a

become known as the 'Rothenfels Memorandum' (Rothenfelser Denkprehensive concept for a reorganisation of the social security system' and asked four social scientists whom he trusted to work out 'a com-In his search for an alternative, Adenauer took the initiative himself as to aims, the project got stuck and no commission was ever set up ment wrangles, with endless inter-departmental rivalries and conflicts this — for Germany — unusual step he referred to the British practice of appointing Royal Commissions.⁴² After two years of internal governmanship of an independent academic or an elder statesman; to justify to see the appointment of a government commission, under the chairlegislation to meet modern needs'. 41 In order to achieve this, he wanted ment to Bismarck's laws', but represent 'a thorough overhaul of social would 'not superimpose the thousandth or elevenhundredth amendin particular was for a time greatly interested in such a plan, which for the reform of the social security system. Chancellor Adenauer matter - made serious attempts to work out a comprehensive plan government - to whom the CDU largely ceded the initiative in this The result of this endeavour was published in 1955 and has since It must be said, though, that between 1953 and 1955 the Federal

companies themselves. Organised into federations, the employers argued that those who create them should also pay for them, i.e. the nationalise these externalised costs, the Rothenfels Memorandum now granted that companies, in a capitalist economic system, generate rehabilitation and seasonal unemployment. In some ways this meant were to compensate the loss of income in case of accident, illness. portions of the 'social costs'. While the welfare state may be said to more costs than they contribute, as they do not have to pay for large the evolution of socio-political thinking in Germany. It took for the resuscitation of certain elements of pre-industrial workers' legisla-This memorandum is particularly interesting in that it illustrates

> but for most of the reproduction costs of labour as well. Not only were the employers to be responsible for direct labour costs. tion (which placed the onus for the care of workers on employers).

ought to take over only those functions which lesser social units cannot zip') - according to which the larger social units, especially the state, and Catholic sociology's 'subsidiary principle' ('Subsidiaritätsprinpayable by the state. The liberal principle of limiting state intervention levels, in order to ensure a correspondingly strict limitation of costs and to allocate many of the social security functions to the lower agencies (family, firm, insurance funds) between state and individual perform equally well - formed the background for this concept. The memorandum sought to inject a whole hierarchy of social

tively and fully. It was, for instance, to be the state's role to ensure individual and the smaller social units to develop their resources effecresponsibility to create the pre-conditions which would allow the economy, but must be seen as a 'pre-condition for the productive that social benefits cannot be considered merely as a burden on the appropriate economic and employment policies. It was also recognised ensure for all those able to work also 'the right to work', through to compensate for differences between town and country, and to 'a normal degree of chances of development' for all young people, employment of resources'. It is thus not possible to define the memorandum as being 'for' or 'against' the welfare state, since it links a number of heterogeneous conceptual elements. The memorandum did, however, argue that it was the state's

the first step in German post-war child allowance legislation. These clearly illustrated by the example of the Child Allowance Law of 1954, characteristic of the prevailing thinking within the CDU. This is most to design an alternative to the British welfare state model was quite fels Memorandum were by and large not put into practice, the attempt allowances - even in international comparison - represented the latest problem', for it is not concerned with a particular section of society, its take-off point must be dated as late as the Second World War or form of benefits within the social security system. Internationally is such that the traditional German 'insurance principle', for technical but with questions that touch all of modern society; yet their nature immediately after. It did not have its roots in the traditional 'workers' reasons, if for no others, hardly provides an adequate instrument. Although the concrete proposals for reform contained in the Rothen-

vision on the state and to finance it out of general taxes. (SPD and It thus seemed reasonable to place the responsibility for this pro-

because of its adherence to the 'subsidiary principle'. 44 pressure from interest groups, but for reasons of principle, especially light so far indicates that the CDU did not arrive at this decision under many sceptical voices from among employers. All that has come to opposition of both SPD and its own coalition partners, and against own funds. The CDU pushed this arrangement through, against the was to pay for the cost of child allowances for its members out of its a certain percentage of the total payroll), had to create special insurwho, in order to finance it (the employers' contribution was fixed at ance funds (Ausgleichskassen); each professional branch, meanwhile, the CDU rejected. It transferred this responsibility to the employers Beveridge both made the same demand.) But it was precisely this which

Beveridge Plan Overtaken? Dynamische Rente (1957) v. Flat-rate Subsistence Pension: was the

not get off the ground during the Adenauer era, certain important partial reforms did come about. The most important of these was 1957. In this reform three separate aspects must be distinguished. the structural reform of the compulsory superannuation system in While a comprehensively conceived reform of social insurance did

- The levels of the then paid pensions were raised by about economy's creation of capital after 1948/9. sumption, whereby they had contributed to the national tated by the boom, for their enforced renunciation of coninstance of overdue justice: a belated compensation, facilithen lived in the shadow of economic revival, this meant an 60 per cent. 45 For the pensioners at the time, who had until
- (2) More important in the long term was the attempt to find a been overtaken by movements in prices and wages since, but contributions paid throughout a working life, which may have rate was now arrived at by not only taking into account all represented an innovation in two respects: the initial pension and a rapid rise in production, wages and prices on the other. ceived social insurance - based on the premiss of wages and crepancy between the benefits provided by a statically con-This was resolved by introducing 'dynamic' pensions. This prices remaining stable over a long period - on the one hand, fundamental solution to the traditional problem of the dis-

of pensions) as well. working life (by way of wages), but in retirement (by way should participate in economic growth not only during their from it should develop on parallel lines, and that workers production process and the pensions of those who have retired was to be that the earnings of workers still engaged in the related to gross wages. In other words the guiding principle which in practice so far (until 1978) has meant their being without clearly defining the points of reference by law, but the stipulation of an annual adjustment of pensions payable, by computing them at current gross wage levels; and secondly,

(3) In addition, the fundamental decision was taken to establish a relation between workers' pensions and former earnings: standard of living earned by work should be maintained in the pension was to be of a level which would ensure that the social structure corresponds to that of his former working ensure that in retirement the individual's position within the sudden reduction in living standards; on the contrary, the old age. Retirement from work was no longer to lead to a current (gross) average wages of those working and insured.47 wage of all insured receives a (net) pension of 60 per cent of the insured for forty years and has always earned the average life. 46 To give a quantitative example: a person who has been 'wages replacement function' of pensions was designed to

a break with the traditional cycle of old age and poverty. Opinion a material and socio-psychological effect on recipients of superannuaas positive an echo as this pension reform." The reform not only had polls at the time showed that the response was overwhelming. The expectations of those still working, since it promised to prolong the institution or even the constitution and symbols of state have evoked our knowledge there has been no other case where a measure, an Allensbach Institut für Demoskopie summed it up as follows: 'To This reform must thus be seen as an attempt once and for all to achieve cannot be overestimated. ening and consolidating effect on the young Federal Republic that between generations. The pension reform of 1957 thus had a strengthvide more equitable norms for the distribution of the national product benefits of economic growth into the retirement period and to protion, disability and widows' pensions, but also on the attitudes and

It must be stressed, though, that while the new pension system

331

premium on achievement and maintained individual status. It was consequently a non-levelling system, but one which put a it the distributive effects of market mechanisms, into pensions as well pension. It thus projected the differentiation of earnings, and with between individual earnings-related contributions and the size of the even more strictly oriented on the principle of a direct correlation little effect on vertical redistribution. 49 Pension insurance was now its claim to consumption to the generation in retirement), it had by way of high contributions, ceding a relatively large portion of did effect a marked horizontal redistribution (the working generation,

small state pension.53 equalities of income: (a) between recipients of low pensions and those unsatisfactory. Roughly 25 per cent of pensioners depended on (meanspayers at large⁵²) and those less fortunate who depended solely on a companies, but through tax concessions, in other words by the taxschemes (three-quarters of such benefits not being financed by the employed by companies which provided private occupational pension still at work, and (b) between that third of the British work-force In addition it created - for all its egalitarian appearance - great intance.⁵¹) The flat rate barely covered the minimum subsistence level tested) supplementary benefits. 50 (Even before the pension reform only 2-3 per cent in Germany had depended on supplementary public assis-The situation of pensioners in Britain at the same time was highly

despite its roots in British and Swedish welfare-state concepts, be must certainly have been the thought that 'dynamic pensions', with private insurance companies in Germany argued in favour of introbusiness, and it is interesting in this connection that in 1956/7 the standard of living'.54 To that extent the - apparently - egalitarian which will just maintain a bare subsistence level, but not a well-earned a uniform pension for all citizens can 'for financial reasons, but also Bundestag. far less of a welfare-state measure than the reform prepared by the enhance an interest in securing additional private benefits and thus insurance business than a flat-rate system; the latter would greatly the function of replacing wages, would leave much less scope for private SPD had meanwhile abandoned this demand. At the back of it there ducing a minimum flat-rate state pension for all citizens, 55 while the flat rate left a lot of room for - differentiating - private insurance for reasons of work ethics, only envisage relatively small benefits, The low level of the flat rate was no accident, for a system of

'The Flat-Rate Subsistence Pension - a Fading Hope' was how

a prospering industrial society.⁵⁷ It appears almost symbolic that in and post-war economy, but the new scheme was commensurate with concepts of the new German pension system, i.e. the preservation of minimum income for all - had been overtaken by history, through the of the Beveridge Plan - the abolition of poverty through a guaranteed same year British pensioners mounted a protest march through the to secure the triumphal return of the governing party, while in the the general Bundestag elections of 1957, the German pensioners helped the relative social status. The former had fitted an impoverished war the Labour Party summed it up in 1957.56 Indeed, the central concept streets of London.58 Whereas in 1953 German experts had visited that 'the English are studying the German pension reform.'59 London to study the British system, it could be reported in 1957

received at least 75 per cent of the average earnings of all the insured calculated as if the recipient throughout his working life had always tion of a sort of 'minimum ceiling': since then the pension has been pension' concept in Germany was amended in 1972 by the introducpension system, which took effect in 1961. Conversely, the 'dynamic minimum flat rate: it introduced a supplementary, earnings-related British National Insurance Act of 1959 amended the concept of a be erroneous to assume a simple and direct causal connection - the now includes elements of earnings-related differentiation, while in the two, initially extremely different, superannuation systems: the British Thus, in the longer term, one can observe a certain convergence of the in order to guarantee adequate minimum security for all insured German scheme the links with individual earnings have been loosened Taking some cues from the German system - although it would

The Federal Republic in the Adenauer Era: an Unwilling Welfare

neo-liberal leanings', as Gaston V. Rimlinger so accurately put it, state intervention in order to promote a welfare state. 'In spite of its contrast, had an a priori sceptical attitude towards any increase in returned to power they did at least not reverse the reforms of 1945/8). aim of turning Britain into a welfare state (and when the Conservatives the Federal Republic at the end of the Adenauer era 'was in reality The leading government party in the Adenauer era (1949-63), by When the Labour Party took office in 1945 it was with the declared

a flat rate for sick pay, which was supplemented in 1966 by a system social security; in Britain that figure was 4 per cent; even including between 12 and 13 per cent of average earnings were deducted for at 17.1 per cent of GNP in Germany, 13.8 per cent in Sweden and of earnings-related additions.) In 1963 public social expenditure stood Beveridge Plan and the National Insurance Act of 1946 also envisaged cent since 1961, of their net earnings for the first six weeks. (The of illness, have been entitled to 90 per cent since 1957, and 100 per worth mentioning in this context is that all German workers, in case high levels of contributions and pensions'.60 The fact particularly 'the nearly universal coverage of employed persons and the relatively an advanced welfare state'. For corroboration, Rimlinger refers to were considerably lower than those of his West German colleague. 62 tax payments, the contributions made by the average British worker 11.8 per cent in Britain.61 In Germany, at the end of the 1950s,

of the new liberal-democratic state. (8) In view of the division of active social policies a pre-condition for the internal stabilisation Out of a welter of political and structural factors I shall here list only can this development towards a welfare state in Germany be explained? governments were intent on creating a social order in West Germany social policy aimed in no small measure at making the population through a sense of threat from the Communists: West Germany's Germany, this motive of stabilisation gained an added dimension in German history, groups within the governing party considered current revenues. (7) Against the background of crises and catastrophes distribution, so that an increase in social demands could be met out of intervention. (6) Continuing economic growth left more scope for system.⁶⁴ (5) Specific post-war problems necessitated increased state of old people) automatically raised the costs of the social security elections.) (4) The demographic development (an increase in the ratio political parties and periodic general elections, gained a relatively strong benefits, which (3), given a parliamentary democracy, competing increased the political weight of voters with an interest in state social the nine probably most significant: (1) the growing quota of employed counteract the solidification of the German partition. (9) A further which would be attractive also to the East Germans, in order to influence. (Major reforms were, in the main, passed just prior to general Communist influence and infiltration'.65 Conversely, the Adenaues 'socially resistant' and thereby 'to engender greater immunity against Given the 'neo-liberal leanings' of the ruling political forces, how automatically raised the number of insured and thus (2)

> while the decidedly neo-liberal wing was being reconciled by the nonto the open-minded social traditions of the Christian social movement, factor was that one wing of the leading government party belonged levelling structure of the German social security system.

Some Unresolved Problems at the End of the Adenauer Era

I can cite only a few. Adenauer era was to secure the economic status of those who either Probably the greatest success in the field of social policy of the problems and the catalogue of demands contained in the Beveridge have ceased to be wage-earners. Measured against the awareness of temporarily (through sickness) or permanently (through old age) Report, a number of important questions remained unresolved. Here

(1) Expansion and effective co-ordination of benefits in kind, i.e. monetary bias of West German social policy contrasts with a general reluctance to provide services.'66 social insurance was attaining its major objectives. Yet in degree that in the area of (monetary) security of income, Plan had particularly emphasised - gained urgency to the especially of social services. This task - which the Beveridge the early 1970s it could still be said that 'the economic-

development of social expenditure, and a clarification of its in the medium term on the extent, structure and probable The development of a comprehensive social budget, oriented presented by the Federal government in 1969.67 position within the overall framework of national accounts The first attempt to arrive at this type of social budget was

(3)came into force in 1976. The codificaton of social legislation, gathering all social security law. The first part of a - still unfinished - code of social law provisions in a lucid, non-contradictory and complete code of

The question of pensions for non-earning housewives is at topical once more which Beveridge had expressed in his demand independent security, instead of the current system which present at the centre of social policy debates in Germany less rights than their husbands.68 A concept has thus become grants them only an indirect share in pension provisions, with Virtually all participants are in favour of giving housewives

ance status'.69 but as partners' and to grant 'housewives independent insurto treat women 'not as persons dependent on their husbands,

of social needs, he showed a comprehensiveness that at the time had to be, for 'in the context of economic policy and an integrated theory not been achieved in any other country'.70 shows how momentous the new ideas generated by his plan have proved seem to provide entirely convincing solutions, hindsight nevertheless important initial ground work. Even if some of the proposals no longer For the instances cited here, the Beveridge Plan had provided some

- (Zürich/New York, 1943). Sir William Beveridge presented to the British Parliament in November 1942 1. The Beveridge Plan. Social Insurance and Related Benefits, Report by
- Sozialversicherungspolitik 1945 bis 1957 (Stuttgart, 1980). politische Entscheidungen im Nachkriegsdeutschland, Alliierte und deutsche 2. Regarding the following, cf. generally: Hans Günter Hockerts, Sozial-
- regional, craft guild and employee insurance funds, etc. miners and white-collar employees; for health insurance: independent company Re pension insurance example: separate pension schemes for workers,

(Bonn/Bad Godesberg, 1973), p. 130. 4. Cf. Horst Peters, Die Geschichte der sozialen Versicherung, 2nd edn

Austen Albu, Memories, Ch. III, p. 13 (as yet unpublished).

Alun M. Morgan in a letter to the author of 8 Aug. 1978.

article in the editorial section of the first issue. 8. T.J. Beatty, 'Soziale Sicherheit in Grossbritannien', Arbeitsblatt für die 7. Arbeitsblatt für die Britische Zone, vol. 1 (1947), pp. 28-30. The first

Fürsorge in Grossbritannien', ibid, pp. 293-5. Britische Zone, vol. 2 (1948), pp. 338-40, 419-22. Cf. also C.W. Cole, 'Staatliche

schäftigung (Hamburg, 1946). Text of a lecture: William H. Beveridge, Soziale Sicherheit und Vollbe-

Zone, vol. 1 (1947), p. 10 f., was thus correspondingly 'conservative' ance directive no. 1 of 18 August 1945, reprinted in Arbeitsblatt für die Britische tholomäi et al. (eds.), Sozialpolitik nach 1945. Geschichte und Analysen (Bonn/ Bad Godesberg, 1977), pp. 33-6. The British military government's social insur-10. Cf. George Foggon, 'Alliierte Sozialpolitik in Berlin', in Reinhard Bar-

schichte der Bundesrepublik Deutschland 1945-1949 (Munich/Vienna, 1967), vol. 1, p. 673. 1946, reprinted in Walter Vogel and Christoph Weisz (eds.), Akten zur Vorgenor argued therefore quite skilfully that 'state subsidies were an absolute neces-Cf. Minutes of a meeting of the Zonenbeirat of the British sector 14/15 August idge Plan approximately 50% of the cost of pensions will be borne by the state. 11. A German trade unionist in a discussion with the deputy military goverthat this is true can be deduced from the fact that according to the Bever-

12. Cf. Die Gewerkschaftsbewegung in der britischen Besatzungszone,

their areas of occupation'. military governments decided not to implement the completed draft law in made by the German Trade Union Federation that both the British and American Geschäftsbericht des Deutschen Gewerkschaftsbundes (Britische Zone) 1947: 1949 (Cologne, 1949), p. 320f.: it had been 'due to the energetic representations

incidentally, had the Beveridge Report translated 'for departmental use only' Mitte des 19. Jahrhunderts bis zur Gründung der Bundesrepublik Deutschland versicherung', Archiv für Sozialgeschichte, vol. 17 (1977), pp. 195-250; Florian immediately after its publication. (Bonn, 1977), pp. 219-25. The Reichsarbeitsministerium (Ministry of Labour), Tennstedt, Geschichte der Selbstverwaltung in der Krankenversicherung von der 13. Karl Teppe, 'Zur Sozialpolitik des Dritten Reiches am Beispiel der Sozial-

schaftsdokumente für den Frieden 1941-4 (Munich, 1965), p. 127f. 14. Cf. Wilhelm Ritter von Schramm (ed.), Beck und Goerdeler, Gemein-

a dangerous political aim, which the Nazis, after 1933, had tried to achieve, should now, after their elimination, be put into practice' (documents in private hands) imply the threat of political mis-use.' They found it 'hard to understand that the idea of a uniform insurance and a uniform administration, since this might sectors of occupation, of 3 November 1946: 'The medical profession is against Arztekammern (Associations of the Medical Profession) in the three Western 15. Cf. for instance a statement on the reform of social insurance by the

Adenauer-Haus, Rhöndorf). Adenauer referred to a speech (cf. note 9) which 16. Speech in Essen on 24 August 1946 (Archiv der Stiftung Bundeskanzler-

Beveridge had made in Hamburg and elsewhere during a visit to Germany. 17. Declaration by the SPD parliamentary advisory council at the Stuttgart

Parlamentsarchiv des Deutschen Bundestags, Bonn). Länderrat Meeting, 7 October 1947 (Protokoll der 25. Tagung des Länderrats:

18. Walter Auerbach's verbal communications to the author.

Dienstes', VjhZG, vol. 21 (1973), pp. 177-88; quotation p. 177. und Rekonstruktion in der US-Zone am Beispiel der Neuordnung des öffentlichen 19. Thus, for instance, Lutz Niethammer, 'Zum Verhältnis von Reform

archiv, Koblenz, Z40/27). 20. Social Insurance Branch to Finance Division, 10 Feb. 1948 (Bundes-

westlichen Besatzungszonen und in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland (Frank-1952. Zur Auseinandersetzung um die Demokratisierung der Wirtschaft in den Cf., for instance, Eberhard Schmidt, Die verhinderte Neuordnung 1945.

furt, 1970).

22. Cf. Georg Tietz, 'Die Entwicklung der Durchschnittsrenten in der Invalidvol. 6 (1955), p. 1087.

stungen und wirtschaftliche Entwicklung. Ein zeitlicher und internationaler Vergleich (Berlin, 1963), p. 29. Geneva, 1961). A tabulated summary in Detlev Zöllner, Offentliche Soziallei-Cf. José Harris, William Beveridge, a Biography (Oxford, 1977), p. 465.
 The Cost of Social Security 1949-1957 (International Labour Office,

schaftliche Monatshefte, vol. 4 (1953), pp. 179-82. (1953), pp. 102-6; Karl Osterkamp, 'Soziale Sicherung in England', Gewerk vol. 2 (1953), pp. 134-73; Heinrich Lünendonk, 'Soziale Sicherung in England' Ludwig Preller and Heinrich Lünendonk, reprinted in Sozialer Fortschritt, vol. 2 Sozialer Fortschritt, vol. 2 (1953), pp. 59-63, 85-8; correspondence between 'Beveridge-Plan - 10 Jahre danach. Erfahrungen und Lehren', Soziale Sicherheit 25. A selection of conference reports by some participants: Walter Auerbach

(1) the low level of social benefits (such as sick pay and old age pensions); (2) 26. Preller, for instance, saw the following disadvantages in the British system:

Britain was, in his view, due to 'the still inadequately solved problem of probenefits and earnings. The overcrowding of hospitals and consulting rooms in of self-administration. What Preller considered problematical was, amongst other medical specialists worked almost exclusively within hospitals; (5) the absence insurance (which in Germany was paid by the employer alone); (4) the fact that viding a free service' received only sick pay); (3) financial contributions of employees to accident posed, but which had not been introduced; until 1971 disabled persons in Britain things, the principle of a flat rate pension, since it did not allow a relation between the absence of a special disability pension (which the Beveridge Plan had pro-

of full employment; (4) the emphasis on social services, e.g. preventive health structure of its organisation, contributions and benefits; (2) the principle of health care is a public responsibility' care and rehabilitation, which in its systematic 'linking of curative, re-training and universality; (3) the conceptual linking of a social benefits system with a policy the following advantages of the British system: (1) the simple and comprehensible labour-market services, was a particularly valuable fruit of the recognition that Cf. Ludwig Preller (as note 25), p. 103f. Preller stressed, amongst others,

(1952), reprinted in idem, Beiträge zur Sozialpolitik (Neuwied-Berlin, 1971), schen Sozialplan (Schriften des Vereins für Socialpolitik NF, vol. 4) (Berlin, pp. 23-32; Gerhard Mackenroth, Die Reform der Sozialpolitik durch einen deut-28. Walter Auerbach, 'Modell eines Sozialplans', Die Krankenversicherung

rung?', Deutsche Zeitung und Wirtschaftszeitung, 4 Aug. 1954. material available had been insufficient. The pioneer character of Peacock's studies was duly appreciated in Hans Achinger, 'Wer bezahlt die soziale Siche-Studies (London, 1954). Peacock endeavoured to include a report on the Federal Republic in this omnibus edition; but he stated that the German statistical 29. Alan T. Peacock (ed.), Income Redistribution and Social Policy. A Set of

der Wirtschaft. Versuch einer ökonomischen Analyse (Kiel, 1955). This was a the preparation of her standard work, Ökonomische Theorie der Sozialpolitik dissertation written under Mackenroth. Later Elisabeth Liefmann-Keil, during (Berlin/Göttingen/Heidelberg, 1961) had conversations with Peacock, Titmuss 30. Hartmut Hensen, Die Finanzen der Sozialen Sicherung in Kreislauf

31. Beveridge Plan, para. 24.

Cf. note 28.

to the German Federal government, dated 31 Dec. 1955. (Cologne, 1955); quotation from an (unpublished) memorandum by Schreiber 33. Wilfrid Schreiber, Existenzsicherheit in der industriellen Gesellschaft

34. Cf. Gerd Muhr's obituary of Walter Auerbach in Soziale Sicherheit,

vol. 24 (1975), p. 131.

vorstand der SPD of 17/18 Nov. 1951 (unpublished). 36. The text published in 1952 was reprinted with an explanatory contribu-35. Cf. Protokoll der Tagung des sozialpolitischen Ausschusses beim Partei

referred back to all ideas contained in the preliminary plan of 1952. For instance the demand for a flat basic rate for every citizen had been dropped social insurance structure had been consolidated in the meantime) no longer executive published in 1957. However, this plan (partly because the traditional tion by Ludwig Preller in the brochure Die Grundlagen des sozialen Gesamtplans der SPD. Unsere Forderung auf soziale Sicherung (Bonn, 1953). More comprehensive and detailed was the 'social plan for Germany' which the SPD party

go into definite proposals, but merely spoke of an 'effective amalgamation'. 37. On the question of organisation and finance the published plan did not

> entire family). A proposal on these lines was incorporated into the 'social plan (Preller suggested 20 per cent). Moreover, within the SPD, Preller advocated the which was in favour of raising part of the money from insurance contributions responsibility; encouraging the system of one doctor being responsible for the all citizens on general practitioners' lists; GPs receiving a per capita fee for this adoption of the British model of a family doctor (and of periodically entering service should be financed out of public funds existed side by side with another In the SPD party executive's social policy committee the view that the health for Germany', but was never put into practice in the Federal Republic.

38. Beveridge Plan, para. 9.

Ludwig Preller (as note 36), p. 27 f.

pp. 466-87. tion in Europe, America, and Russia (New York/London/Sydney/Toronto, 1976), SPD has been outlined by Gaston V. Rimlinger, Welfare Policy and Industrializa-40. A comparison of attitudes on social policies on the part of CDU and

lichkeit des ersten Bundeskanzlers (Stuttgart 1976), vol. 2, pp. 466-87. for details cf. Hans Günter Hockerts, 'Adenauer als Sozialpolitiker', in Dieter Blumenwitz et al. (eds.), Konrad Adenauer und seine Zeit. Politik und Persön-41. Quotation from an (unprinted) Adenauer speech on 3 February 1956.

from this Minister to the Chancellor's Office, dated 16 Dec. 1953 sion on the lines of the English Royal Commission'; cf. an (unpublished) letter Minister of the Interior also argued in favour of 'creating a government commis-42. In the Federal Cabinet on 6 April 1954 (unpublished minutes) the Federal

lated this memorandum among cabinet colleagues in June 1955, with the remark to investigate the question of social reform' (unpublished records) 'that in line with the English example, he had asked an independent commission Höffner, Hans Muthesius, Ludwig Neundörfer (Cologne, 1955). Adenauer circu-Herm Bundeskanzlers erstattet von den Professoren Hans Achinger, Joseph 43. Neuordnung der Sozialen Leistungen. Denkschrift auf Anregung des

child allowances was taken over by the Federal government in 1964 44. Not least at the insistence of middle-class employers, the financing of

scher Bundestag, 3. Wahlperiode, Drucksache 568), p. 23. 45. For a more detailed statistical breakdown cf. Sozialbericht 1958 (Deut-

reformgesetzes, 23 May 1956 (Deutscher Bundestag, 2, Wahlperiode, Drucksache 2314), pp. 57, 61. 46. Quotations from the explanatory part of Regierungsentwurf des Renten-

note 40), p. 179, does not take account of the net-gross difference 47. The explanation of the pension formula in Gaston V, Rimlinger (as

Allensbach in Bundesurbeitsblatt, vol. 11 (1960), p. 66. Also Gerhard Schmidt-chen, Die befragte Nation (Freiburg, 1959), p. 167. 49. Quite rightly, Gaston V. Rimlinger also emphasises this (as note 40), 48. Cf. a report on the observation of trends by the Institut für Demoskopie

pp. 180, 191.

Systems', Sozialer Fortschritt, vol. 5 (1956), pp. 226-8. britannien? Zur gegenwärtigen Auseinandersetzung um die Reform des Beveridge-50. Cf. Brian Abel-Smith, 'Lohngebundene oder Einheitsrenten in Gross-

1956), p. 28. 51. Cf. Die öffentliche Fürsorge (Federal Ministry of the Interior, Cologne,

Auerbach (as note 25), p. 170 and Ludwig Preller (as note 25), p. 103 this in January 1953, was highly critical; cf. the conference reports by Walter 53. Brian Abel-Smith, who belonged to those members of the Fabian Society Richard M. Titmuss, who informed the Anglo-German conference about

as follows: 'the early post-war vision millions of people had of a classless retirewho worked for a reform of the Beveridge system, in 1956 commented on this

creation of some inequality in order to avoid even greater inequalities' (as note tional pension schemes depends on luck'. Abel-Smith therefore pleaded 'for the ment, assured by uniform Beveridge pensions, has faded. If nothing is done, we can certainly expect to have "two nations" of the old: one nation with two for old people than subsistence rates, where supplementing these through occupa-The introduction of earnings-related pensions would 'bring about greater equality pensions (state and occupational) and another with just one pension (state).

35-68, quotation p. 47. Industriegesellschaft', Soziale Probleme der modernen Industriegesellschaft (Schriften des Vereins für Sozialpolitik NF, vol. 92) (Berlin 1977), vol. 1, pp. 54. Wolfram Fischer, 'Der Wandel der sozialen Frage in den fortgeschrittenen

echten sozialen Reform'. Partly reprinted in Max Richter (ed.), Die Sozialreform. Fortschritt, vol. 5 (1956), p. 113 f. Cf. also unsigned article, 'Die Privatversicherung nimmt das Wort', Sozialer Dokumente und Stellungnahmen (Bad Godesberg, n.d.) (loose leaf collection). Insurance Companies: 'Die elastische Staatsbürgergrundrente als Grundlage einer 55. Cf. a memorandum circulated in May 1956 by the Association of Life

adjustment of pensions. Cf. José Harris (as note 23), p. 463 f. of wage-related pensions and rejected particularly the idea of an automatic value of pensions in real terms, but not - as in Germany - at the pensioners adjustment of pensions to the cost of living index (this aimed at safeguarding the participating in economic growth). Lord Beveridge fought against the principle introduction of a wage-related superannuation scheme, as well as automatic Titmuss and Abel-Smith had also participated. (London, 1957). This programmatic declaration was based on studies in which 56. National Superannuation. Labour's Policy for Security in Old Age The programme envisaged the

jahresschrift, vol. 18 (1977), pp. 707-72, quotation p. 722 social status", is emphasised also by Peter Flora, Jens Alber and Jürgen Kohl. lem is shifted from maintaining the "subsistence level" to maintaining "relative 'Zur Entwicklung der westeuropäischen Wohlfahrtsstaaten', Politische Viertel-57. That in the 'course of economic growth the crux of the "security" prob-

58. Cf. a photo on title page of Welt der Arbeit, Wochenzeitung des Deut-

schen Gewerkschaftsbundes, 8 Mar. 1957.

heit in England', Bundesarbeitsblatt, vol. 8 (1957), pp. 480-7. in the German reform legislation: Kurt Jantz, 'Zum System der Sozialen Sicherin the Labour Party's National Superannuation Scheme as regulations contained of the Federal Ministry of Labour recognised with some pride certain details of the German pension reform.' The head of the social insurance department foreign social policy measure with as much interest as they do now in the case it went on, 'have the newspapers read by English working people followed a 59. Thus the heading of a report in Welt der Arbeit, 22 Feb. 1957. 'Rarely'

Gaston V. Rimlinger (as note 40), p. 184.

Westeuropa und USA (Cologne/Berlin, 1968), p. 106. Preller, Praxis und Probleme der Sozialpolitik, vol. 2 (Tübingen/Zurich, 1970), 62. Cf. Andrew Shonfield, Geplanter Kapitalismus. Wirtschaftspolitik in The Cost of Social Security, 1961-1963. A statistical summary in Ludwig

the prime determining factor for the rate of social benefits. 63. Detlev Zöllner (as note 24) sees the quota of (non-agricultural) workers as

and the ratio of over-65s to the population as a whole'. Quoted according to correlation' existed between 'the rate of social benefits per capita of population growth of social benefits have shown that, compared internationally, 'the closest 64. Correlation analyses carried out by H.C. Wilensky regarding the size and

Wolfram Fischer (as note 54), p. 54.

aufbau. Tätigkeitsbericht der Bundesregierung für das Jahr 1955 (no place, n.d.), 65. From the preface by Chancellor Adenauer in Deutschland im Wieder-

Diskussion', Archiv für Wissenschaft und Praxis der sozialen Arbeit, vol. 66. Hans F. Zacher, 'Faktoren und Bahnen der aktuellen sozialpolitischen

(1972), pp. 241-66, quotation p. 241.

67. Hermann Berie,

per cent of her husband's pension. Yet if the wife dies, the husband continues to legal claim to a pension of her own. As a widow she has an indirect claim to 60 Vereins für Socialpolitik NF, vol. 92/II), Berlin, 1977, pp. 830-68.

politik' in Soziale Probleme der modernen Industriegesellschaft (Schriften des

'Das Sozialbudget als Instrument der staatlichen Sozial-

receive 100 per cent of 'his' pension. 68. As long as the husband is alive the non-earning housewife has no direct

69. Beveridge Plan, paras. 106 and 117.

frage zum Wohlfahrtsstaat, 2nd edn (Frankfurt, 1971), p. 102f 70. Hans Achinger, Sozialpolitik als Gesellschaftspolitik. Von der Arbeiter-